



**Sh. Raj Singh, M : 9814241257**

S/o Late Sh. Ishar Singh,  
Village Toddarwal. PO Babarpur,  
Tehsil Nabha, Distt Patiala-147201

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SSP, Fatehgarh Sahib

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o IGP, Roopnagar

**Appeal Case No.: 1947 of 2020**

**Cisco Webex Proceeding**

**Present:**     Appellant: Absent

Respondent: ASI, Ranjit Singh (8360834611)

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference of previous order dated 16.09.2020.
2. Respondent, Sh. Ranjit Singh pleaded that sought information has been supplied to the appellant through post dated 09.10.2020. A copy of which is received in the Commission.
3. Appellant, Sh. Raj Singh is absent for today's hearing without any prior intimation to the Commission.
4. As the information stands supplied, therefore, no cause of action is left in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is ***disposed of and closed.***
5. Announced in the Court, Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 17.11.2020**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



**Sh. Ajay Nand /Ajay Kumar Mehta , (9814646309)**

#C – 118 East Mohan Nagar,  
Chamran Road, Amritsar

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o DGP Crime, PB,  
Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o DGP Crime, PB,  
Chandigarh.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.: 852 of 2020**  
**Cisco Webex Proceeding**

**Present:** Appellant: Sh. Ajay Nand /Ajay Kumar Mehta  
Respondent: Absent

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference of previous order dated 16.09.2020.
2. The appellant has sought the following information pertaining to:-

ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕੀ the prevention of corruption act 1988 ਤਹਿਤ  
ਸਾਲ 2001 ਤੋਂ ਅੱਜ ਤੱਕ ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ ਅਤੇ ਪੂਰੇ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਵਿਚ ਅਤੇ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਦੇ ਸਾਰੇ  
ਦਿਹਾਤੀ ਐਰੀਆ ਵਖਰੇ ਵਖਰੇ ਜਿਲੀਆ ਦੇ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਪਾਸ ਕਿਨੀਆ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤਾ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ  
ਹੋਈਆ ਅਤੇ ਕਿਨੀਆਂ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤਾ the prevention of corruption act 1988  
ਤਹਿਤ ਐਫ ਆਈ ਆਰ ਦਰਜ ਕੀਤੀਆ ਗਈਆ ਹਨ ਇਹਨਾ ਐਫ ਆਈ ਆਰ ਨੂੰ ਤੋ  
ਸਾਲ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਥਾਨਾ ਅਤੇ ਐਰੀਆ ਬਾਰੇ ਜਾਨਕਾਰੀ ਦੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੇਕਰ ਇਸ ਦੀ ਜਾਨਕਾਰੀ

3. **Submissions made by Respondent :** A letter dated 20.10.2020 vide diary no. 14385 is received in the commission wherein it written that **sought information enumerated in RTI application relates with different PIOs of Punjab**. A letter bearing no. 191/crime cb.4 dated 22.01.2020 has been sent to the appellant informing the same.

**Observations & Decision :**

4. If the appellant makes an application to a public authority for information, a part of which is available with that public authority and the rest of the **information is scattered with more than one other public authorities**, in such a case, the **PIO of the public authority receiving the application should give information relating to it and advise the applicant to make separate applications to the concerned public authorities for obtaining information from them**. If no part of the information sought, is available with it but is scattered with more than one other public authorities, the PIO should inform the applicant that information is not available with the public authority and that **the applicant should make separate applications to the concerned public authorities for obtaining information from them**. However, if the details of public authorities who may have the information sought by the applicant are available with the PIO, such details may also be provided to the applicant.

**Appeal Case No.: 852 of 2020**  
**Cisco Webex Proceeding**

5. From a perusal of the relevant case records and hearing both the parties, it is noted that the appellant is aggrieved with the fact that the desired information is not provided to him.
6. In view of the above observations, Commission finds that the RTI application has been **suitably replied** . Moreover, **the factual position has been brought to the notice of the appellant by the respondent for further query.**

Therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed.**

7. Announced in the Court, copies of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 17.11.2020**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Smt. Premjit Kaur, (9914824204, 9855696442)**

Sh. Chamkaur Singh,  
R/o B – 18, Madhuban Enclave,  
Barewal Road, Near Indus Hospital ,  
Ludhiana - 141012

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer/APIO**  
O/o DEO (SE), Ludhiana.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.: 52 of 2020**  
**Cisco Webex Proceedings**

**Present:** Appellant: Absent  
Respondent: Sh. Gurdarshan Singh

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference of previous order dated 16.09.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.
2. Respondent, Sh. Gurdarshan Singh states that the sought information has been provided to the complainant on 17.09.2020 with a copy to the Commission. He further added that, an **acknowledgment letter for the receiving of information has been made by the complainant**. An email dated 17.11.2020 has been received by the bench of undersigned wherein the previously mentioned statement of the respondent authority is written.
3. As the information stands supplied, therefore no cause of action is left in this case, hence the case is **Disposed of** and **closed**.
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 17.11.2020**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



**Shri Satnam Singh Dhawan,**

House No 7, G K Vihar,  
Manakwal, Ludhiana.,

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

.....Respondent

O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

**Appeal Case No.: 1373 of 2020**

**Cisco Webex Proceeding**

**Present:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: ASI, Ramesh Kumar

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference of previous order dated 15.09.2020.

**Sought Information:-**

1. ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਦੇ ਕੁੱਲ ਮੁਲਾਜ਼ਮਾਂ ਦੀ ਗਿਣਤੀ ਦੱਸੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਅਤੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਜਾਵੇ ਕਿ ਕਿਸ ਰੈਂਕ ਉੱਤੇ ਕਿੰਨੇ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ ਜਾਂ ਮੁਲਾਜ਼ਮ ਤੈਨਾਤ ਹਨ ।
  2. ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਦੇ ਕਿੰਨੇ ਮੁਲਾਜ਼ਮ ਕਿਹੜੇ ਵੱਖ-ਵੱਖ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਵਿਭਾਗਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਡਿਊਟੀ ਨਿਭਾ ਰਹੇ ਹਨ ।
  3. ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਦੇ ਕਿੰਨੇ ਮੁਲਾਜ਼ਮ ਕਿਹੜੇ-ਕਿਹੜੇ ਰਾਜਨੀਤਿਕ ਪਾਰਟੀਆਂ ਦੇ ਲੀਡਰਾਂ ਦੀ ਸਕਿਊਰਟੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਤੈਨਾਤ ਹਨ ।
  4. ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਦੇ ਕਿੰਨੇ ਮੁਲਾਜ਼ਮ ਕਿਹੜੇ ਵੱਖ-ਵੱਖ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਅਫਸਰਾਂ ਦੀ ਸਕਿਊਰਟੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਤੈਨਾਤ ਹਨ ।
  5. ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਦੇ ਕੁੱਲ ਕਿੰਨੇ ਮੁਲਾਜ਼ਮਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਲੋਕਾਂ ਦੀ ਸਕਿਊਰਟੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਤੈਨਾਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ ਜਿਹਨਾਂ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੀ ਜਾਨ ਨੂੰ ਖਤਰਾ ਦੱਸ ਕੇ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਤੋਂ ਸਕਿਊਰਟੀ ਮੰਗੀ ਹੋਈ ਹੈ ।
2. Respondent, Sh. Ramesh Kumar pleaded that the sought information pertaining to RTI application is denied under [Section 8\(1\)\(g\)](#) of the Right to [Information Act](#) 2005 as the information is in the interest of **safety** and **security** of the source . An email dated 17.11.2020 has been received by the bench of undersigned wherein the same is mentioned.
3. Appellant is not present for today's hearing.
4. From a perusal of the relevant case records, it is observed that sought information, **comes within the ambit of the exemptions under Section 8(1)(h) and 8(1)(g) of the RTI Act.** Both these Sections prohibit information disclosure of which would compromise the source of the information of law enforcement authorities and could jeopardize the life or physical safety of those providing such tip-offs. **The RTI Act has very thoughtfully restricted access to information in such matters.** Therefore, the respondent authority is justified in denying the information sought.
- Accordingly, this instant appeal case is **Disposed of** and **closed**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 17.11.2020**

**Sd/-**  
**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



**Shri Satnam Singh Dhawan,**  
House No 7, G K Vihar,  
Manakwal, Ludhiana.,

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

**Appeal Case No.: 1371 of 2020**  
**Cisco Webex Proceeding**

**Present:** Appellant: Absent  
Respondent: ASI, Ramesh Kumar

**ORDER**

6. This order may be read with reference of previous order dated 15.09.2020.

**Sought Information:-**

1. ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਜਿਲੇ ਵਿੱਚ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਡਿਪਾਰਟਮੈਂਟ ਨਾਲ ਸੰਬੰਧਤ ਹਰ ਤਰ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੇ ਮੋਟਰ ਵਹੀਕਲ ਦੀ ਸਾਰੀ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਉਪਲੱਬਧ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ :- 01/01/2004-10 31/12/2019

1.(A) ਕੁੱਲ ਵਹੀਕਲਸ ਦੀ ਗਿਣਤੀ ।

1.(B) ਸਾਰੇ ਵਹੀਕਲ ਨੰਬਰ ।

1.(C) ਵਹੀਕਲ ਨਾਲ ਸੰਬੰਧਤ ਪਾਲਿਊਸ਼ਨ ਸਰਟੀਫੀਕੇਟ ਦੀਆਂ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ।

1.(D) ਵਹੀਕਲ ਨਾਲ ਸੰਬੰਧਤ ਇੰਸੂਰੈਂਸ ਸਰਟੀਫੀਕੇਟ ਦੀਆਂ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ।

1.(E) ਵਹੀਕਲ ਨਾਲ ਸੰਬੰਧਤ ਰਜਿਸਟਰੇਸ਼ਨ ਸਰਟੀਫੀਕੇਟ ਦੀਆਂ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ।

1.(F) ਵਹੀਕਲਸ ਦੇ ਸਰਵਿਸ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਦੀਆਂ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਉਪਲੱਬਧ ਕਰਵਾਈਆਂ ਜਾਣ ਜੀ ।

7. Respondent, Sh. Ramesh Kumar pleaded that with the compliance of the previous order dated 15.09.2020 sought information has been supplied to the appellant on his visit to the office.

8. Appellant is not present for today's hearing.

9. As the information stands supplied, therefore, no cause of action is left in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is ***disposed of and closed***.

10. Announced in the Court, Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 17.11.2020**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**